

WORKSHOP EVALUATION QUESTIONNAIRE

WORKSHOP LOCATION: _____

Nature of job position:

Administrative _____

Educator _____

Practitioner _____

Supervisory _____

Technical Advisor _____

Other: _____

Years in position: < 1 _____ 1-5 _____ 5-10 _____ 10+ _____

INSTRUCTIONS:

Please circle your response to these items. Rate aspects of the workshop on a 1 to 5 scale:

1 = "Strongly disagree", or the lowest, most negative impression.

3 = "Neither agree nor disagree", or a neutral impression.

5 = "Strongly agree", or the highest, most positive impression.

Your feedback is sincerely appreciated. Thank you!

WORKSHOP CONTENT:

WLFW Bobwhite in Grasslands project, Bobwhite habitat basics.

I would have liked for the presentation to have been more in-depth.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation was at a pace that allowed comprehension.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation allowed enough time for discussion and questions.	1	2	3	4	5

Why use NWSG in your grazing system?

I would have liked for the presentation to have been more in-depth.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation was at a pace that allowed comprehension.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation allowed enough time for discussion and questions.	1	2	3	4	5

NWSG Establishment overview

I would have liked for the presentation to have been more in-depth.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation was at a pace that allowed comprehension.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation allowed enough time for discussion and questions.	1	2	3	4	5

How to manage NWSG forages – maintaining vigor and productivity

I would have liked for the presentation to have been more in-depth.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation was at a pace that allowed comprehension.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation allowed enough time for discussion and questions.	1	2	3	4	5

NWSG forage and impact on bobwhite and other grassland birds

I would have liked for the presentation to have been more in-depth.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation was at a pace that allowed comprehension.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation allowed enough time for discussion and questions.	1	2	3	4	5

Field Tour

The field tour was helpful in tying together some of the concepts.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation was at a pace that allowed comprehension.	1	2	3	4	5
Presentation allowed enough time for discussion and questions.	1	2	3	4	5

WORKSHOP CONTENT CON'T:

The content of this workshop wasn't technical enough.	1	2	3	4	5
The content of this workshop was too technical.	1	2	3	4	5
This workshop provided information I will be able to use in my job.	1	2	3	4	5
This workshop met my expectations.	1	2	3	4	5

WORKSHOP DESIGN AND ORGANIZATION:

Presentations were organized in a logical sequence.	1	2	3	4	5
I would prefer more classroom time.	1	2	3	4	5
I would prefer a one-day session.	1	2	3	4	5

Considering this workshop in its entirety, how would you rank it? 1 2 3 4 5
(1 = lowest rank, 5 = highest rank)

Do you recommend any improvements to this workshop? Were there topics you wanted to see covered that weren't?

Please add any additional comments here:

**THANK YOU FOR YOUR FEEDBACK AND HELPING US IMPROVE
THIS WORKSHOP FOR FUTURE PRESENTATIONS!**