

Project ID no: NPC-PRJ/2009/

Project Evaluation Form

Project name:

Yes	3	No	-1	No information provided	0
-----	---	----	----	-------------------------	---

1	National Priority	Score (15)
1.1	Is the objective(s) linked to the 5 pledges?	
1.2	Is the objective(s) linked to other government priorities	
1.3	Is the project contributing to achieve International obligations/commitments?	
1.4	Is the project inline with sector policies?	
1.5	Is the project inline with the provincial plans?	
Total	<i>(Total weighted score= (total/15)*20%)</i>	

2	Financing and Budget	Score (24)
2.1	Is each project components/activities costed?	
2.2	Is the total cost of the project reasonable?	
2.3	Is annual expected disbursement reasonable?	
2.4	Is the proposed funding modality most appropriate?	
2.5	Is the proposed funding source most appropriate?	
2.6	Will the operational costs (<i>service provision, repairer and maintenance</i>) project be funded from revenue generated from the project?	
2.7	Does the project have any community contribution?	
2.8	Does the project cover recurrent costs?	
Total	<i>(Total weighted score= (total/24)*25%)</i>	

3	Project Structure	Score (27)
3.1	Is the purpose / objective clearly defined?	
3.2	Target well defined (measurable + time bound)?	
3.3	Is a sufficient justification provided?	
3.4	Background / Issues provided?	
3.5	Beneficiaries / target group stated?	
3.6	Activities formulated to achieve objectives?	
3.7	Implementing agency identified?	
3.8	Location identified?	
3.9	Does the project have many phases?	
Total	<i>(Total weighted score= (total/27)*15%)</i>	

4	Location assessment	Score (12)
4.1	Inline with Land use plan?	
4.2	Is this project the best use for this land?	
4.3	No compensation required?	

	<i>(land/trees/building etc)</i>	
4.4	Location endorsed by provincial office / city council?	
Total	<i>(Total weighted score= (total/12)*10%)</i>	

5	Consultation and stake holder involvement	Score (15)
5.1	Have the beneficiaries been appropriately involved/ consulted in project formulation?	
5.2	Has the feedback from the community consultations been incorporated to the project?	
5.3	Have all the stakeholders been appropriately involved in project formulation?	
Total	<i>(Total weighted score= (total/15)*10%)</i>	

6	Project Management	Score (15)
6.1	Does the project have a work plan for the project?	
6.2	Does the project have means monitor financial and technical progress? (<i>reporting period, info route, etc</i>)	
6.3	Does the project have a system to communicate with all the stakeholders through out the project?	
6.4	Does the project have a mechanism to measure the quality of the project (<i>deliverables, admin and financial arrangements</i>)	
6.5	Does the project have means to evaluate the project upon completion of it?	
Total	<i>(Total weighted score (total/15)*15%)</i>	

7	Project Impact and Cross cutting Issues	Score (18)
7.1	Is the project environmentally friendly?	
7.2	Does the project minimize negative impact on vulnerable groups?	
7.3	Does the project contribute to gender equality?	
7.4	Does the project increase employment opportunities for locals in the long-run?	
7.5	Does the project increase opportunities for SMEs?	
7.6	Is the impact on migration desired?	
Total	<i>(Total weighted score= (total/18)*5%)</i>	

Total Score: /120

National Planning Council Decision

Project approved as proposed	
To be revised (by proposing agency /party) as per NPC instructions and to be re-submitted to NPC	
To be sent to technical committee for evaluation	
Project rejected	

Mohamed Nasheed, Chairperson of National Planning Council

Date:/...../.....