Attachment D

St. Cloud Hospital Root Cause Analysis (RCA) Worksheet Adapted from a template utilized by Good Samaritan Hospital, Dayton, Ohio

RCA #:

	he Week Time						
Was a Critical Incident Stress De-Briefing (CISD) co							
Was this event reportable to the MN Patient Safety I	Registry? No Yes Initial Entry Date:	RCA Entry Date:					
MN PATIENT SAFETY EVENT CATEGORY							
Certain events have additional questions to address in	the Registry – See reference notations in event catego	ries below.					
SURGICAL EVENTS (* Reference F1)	PRODUCT OR DEVICE EVENTS	PATIENT PROTECTION EVENTS					
☐Surgery wrong body part *	☐ Patient death or serious disability – use of	☐ Infant discharged to the wrong person					
☐ Surgery wrong patient *	contaminated drugs, devices, or biologics provided	☐ Patient death or serious disability associated with					
☐ Wrong surgical procedure performed on patient *	by the facility	patient disappearance					
Retention of a foreign object	☐ Patient death or serious disability - device used	☐ Patient suicide or attempted suicide resulting in					
☐ Death during or immediately after surgery, normal,	or functions other than as intended	serious disability					
healthy patient	Patient death or serious disability – intravascular						
	air embolism while being cared for in a facility						
CARE MANAGEMENT EVENTS (* Reference F2)	ENVIRONMENTAL EVENTS (* Reference F3)	CRIMINAL EVENTS					
Patient death or serious disability with a medication	☐ Patient death or serious disability – electric	☐ Instance of care ordered by or provided by					
error, involving the wrong drug, the wrong dose, the	shock	someone impersonating a physician, nurse,					
wrong patient, the wrong time, the wrong rate, the	☐Line designated for oxygen or other gas to be	pharmacist, or other licensed health care provider					
wrong preparation, or the wrong route of	delivered to a patient contains the wrong gas or is	Abduction of patient any age					
administration	contaminated by toxic substances	Sexual assault on patient within or on the grounds					
Patient death or serious disability – hemolytic	Patient death or serious disability – burn incurred	of a facility					
reaction due to the administration of ABO/HLA –	from any source	☐ Death or significant injury of a patient or staff					
incompatible blood or blood products	Patient death or serious disability – fall *	member resulting from a physical assault that occurs					
Maternal death or serious disability associated with	Patient death or serious disability – use or lack of	within or on the grounds					
labor or delivery in a low-risk pregnancy ☐ Patient death or serious disability associated with	restraints or bedrails						
hypoglycemia							
failure to identify and treat hyperbilirubinemia in							
neonates during the first 28 days of life							
Stage 3, 4 or unstageable pressure ulcers acquired							
after admission *							
Patient death or serious disability due to spinal							
manipulative therapy							
CAUSATION STATEMENT:							
After analysis, was this event considered to be?	☐ Preventable ☐ Non-preventable						
Disclosure to patient/family? Yes No	Was staffing a contributing factor in this eve	nt? ☐ Yes ☐ No					

ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS MEETING (RCA) Date of RCA Meeting: TEAM MEMBERS of RCA meeting (by Title) ☐ PI Date Report Completed: Facilitator ☐ VP of Area Director of Area/s (list)______ Others___ **DEFINE THE EVENT:** Define the event briefly and attach Sequence of Events (What happened, when did it happen, and what was the outcome. NOTE: If this was a pressure ulcer event, include the stage and body site of the pressure ulcer.) **DEFINE THE CURRENT PROCESS:** (Bullet point key components of the process) What steps of the Process appeared to impact this process?

A. EQUIPMENT / SUPPLIES FACTORS

	nctioning, misusing) a factor in this event? Consider: Did sequestering och as ECRI, MedWatch and FDA checked? elow)	of equipme	ent occur?
□ No			
Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?	Check approp	riate column
↓	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause Contributing	
Check all that apply Then			Factor
☐ Preventive Maintenance missing / late			
☐ Equipment inappropriate for task			
☐ Equipment /device not available when needed			
Equipment not functioning correctly			
☐ Inadequate controls, alarms, or cues			
☐ Instructions for safe operation not known			
☐ Personal preference for method / tool			
Other:			
A2. Does this event meet the criteria for	r MedWatch reporting?		
(Note: If unsure review the causes listed be			
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?			
A3. Would there be benefit for other org	ganizations to be alerted to this type of event?		
Yes Report into ECRI. Date Repo	orted: By Whom:		

A. EQUIPMENT / SUPPLIES FACTORS - continued

A4. Was distribution of supplies (including meds, IVs, Blood)a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)							
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?			Would comedian alliminate recommend				
l les li yes, wily:			Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?	Check appropriate the control of the	oriate column		
Check all that apply	Then	→ Descrik	pe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor		
Similar appearance to like pro	oduct						
☐ Inconsistent location for supp	ly						
☐ Unclear labeling of supply							
☐ Inconsistent methods & proce	edures						
☐ Procedure not identified / follo	owed						
☐ Other:							
	e changes and indepen		designed to engineer errors out of current and ne ependent redundancies should be shared on a hou		es or		
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Reportin Commun	_		

B. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

B1. Was inadequate building safety a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)								
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?							
▼		Check approp	riate column					
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor					
☐ Path obstructed / not clearly marked								
☐ Area under construction								
☐ Unique care environment concerns								
☐ Safety procedures not known / followed or inadequate emergency or failure mode responses planned and tested								
☐ Inadequate / delayed security response								
☐ Inadequate barriers to high-risk areas								
☐ Inadequate systems to identify environmental risks								
☐ Area not meeting codes, specifications and other applicable regulations								
☐ Other:								

B. ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS – continued

B2. Was location, physical layout, or visibility of the work area a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)								
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?								
<u> </u>		Describe the deviation and the cause Check appropria Root Cause						
Check all that apply	Then	Describ	be the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor			
Area cramped, cluttered, soil	ed							
☐ Area noisy, multiple distraction	ons							
Lengthy distances between wareas	vork							
☐ Poor visibility of event area								
☐ Location inappropriate for tas	k							
☐ Uncontrollable external factor	rs							
Other:								
	1							
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN: Action Plans should establish practice changes and independent redundancies designed to engineer errors out of current and new methods, procedures or processes. Where applicable and appropriate, such practices changes and independent redundancies should be shared on a housewide basis.								
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Reportii Commui	_			

C. PATIENT Factors

OT TATTE ITT T GOTOTO									
C1. Were pre-disposing conditions, medical history, or co-morbidity's a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below)									
☐ No☐ Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction eliminate reoccurrence?								
		Check approp	riate column						
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor						
☐ Unable to follow directions									
☐ Unwilling to follow directions									
☐ Immobile, physical limitations									
☐ Severely compromised, multiple comorbidities									
☐ Incomplete history, assessment, relevant information									
☐ Plan of care inadequate to meet needs									
☐ Interventions inadequate to meet needs									
☐ Demographic factors: age, gender, race/ethnicity									
Other:									

	-			
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Reporting and Communication

D. RULES / POLICIES / PROCEDURE Factors

D. NULLS / FULICILS / FRUI	CLDUNL Paciois							
D1. Were standards (policies, procedures, regulations) or compliance to standards a factor in this event? (Note: If unsure review the								
causes listed below)								
No ☐ Yes If yes	, Why?		Would correction eliminate reo	ccurrence?				
▼				Ch	neck approp	riate column		
Check all that apply	Then	——→ Describ	be the deviation and the cause	Ro	oot Cause	Contributing Factor		
☐ Standards not available / access ☐ Standards not known or underste ☐ Standards known but not practice ☐ Compliance to standard not enfo ☐ Standards redundant, inconvenie conflict with other standards ☐ Barriers to comply with standards ☐ Other:	ood ed vrced ent, or							
D2. Was documentation (abse	nt, altered, inaccura	ate, incomplete,	illegible) a factor in this event?					
(Note: If unsure review the cause		•	- ,					
<u> </u>	, Why?		Would correction eliminate reoccurre	ence?				
★	,				appropriate	column		
Check all that apply	Then	→ Describe	the deviation and the cause	Root Ca		Contributing Factor		
	e/absent ning ion not sks ible							
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN: Action Plans should establish practice changes and independent redundancies designed to engineer errors out of current and new methods, procedures or processes. Where applicable and appropriate, such practices changes and independent redundancies should be shared on a housewide basis.								
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)	Re	eporting mmunica			

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) Identify all disciplines involved in the event (not by indivdual name): Physicians/Providers PCA's RN's Other staff (list): LPN's E1. Was lack of knowledge or information a factor in this event? Attach staff credentialing and performance information. (Note: If unsure review the causes listed below) ☐ Yes If yes, Why? No Would correction eliminate reoccurrence? Check appropriate column Check all that apply Then-Describe the deviation and the cause Root Cause Contributing Factor Patient not properly identified ☐ Inadequate communication of patient assessment and treatments between shifts, departments, disciplines (e.g., nurse: nurse; MD: nurse; MD: MD; Nurse: Patient / Family; MD: Patient/Family) Patient's has limited English proficiency (ASL, Somali, Spanish, Vietnamese, etc) phone interpreter, onsite interpreter used for key communication Discharge instructions did not consider language, health literacy, cultural beliefs, or reading level of patient Chain of Command not utilized Barriers to communicate potential risk factors ☐ Inadequate communication of prevention strategies for adverse outcomes ☐ Inadequate orientation, training Inadequate qualification to perform task ☐ Culture not conducive to risk identification and reduction Cross cultural differences between staff/MD; patient/staff; staff/staff ☐ Information not easily accessible Unclear instructions r/t task Inadequate resources for clarification

Other:

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) - continued

E2. People Factors			
▼		Check approp	riate column
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor
1. Were there any factors that would increase the likelihood of a human error happening? If yes, what were they and why?			
☐ No ☐ Yes			
Consider system/process designs to prevent the human error factor.			
2. Were there any factors that would increase the likelihood of someone making a choice for At Risk Behavior or violation of existing policies/procedures? If yes, what were they and why?			
☐ No ☐ Yes			
Consider system/process designs to prevent/mitigate the likelihood of someone choosing the At Risk Behavior choice or violating existing policies/procedures.			

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) - continued

E3. Was lack of ability, supervision, or staffing a factor in this event? Attach staffing grid for shifts surrounding event.								
(Note: If unsure review the causes listed by	,	thar staff a	•					
appropriate.	tentially involved in the event, including nursing, pharmacy, medical and o	iner Stan a	S					
арргориасе.								
□ No								
Yes If yes, Why?	Would correction prevent reoccurrence?							
. ★		Check approp	riate column					
Check all that apply Then —	► Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor					
MN Adverse Event Registry Staffing Spe	ecific Questions.							
Did staff who were involved in the								
event believe that staffing was								
appropriate to provide safe care?								
☐ No ☐ Yes								
If no, did staff who were involved in the								
event believe that staffing issues contributed to the event?								
No ☐ Yes								
Did actual staffing deviate from the								
planned staffing at the time of the								
adverse event, or during key times								
that led up to the adverse event?								
☐ No ☐ Yes								
Were there any unexpected issues or								
incidents that occurred at the time of								
the adverse event, or during key times								
that led up to the adverse event?								
☐ No ☐ Yes								
If yes, did the unexpected issue/incident								
impact staffing or workload for staff								
involved in the adverse event? ☐ No ☐ Yes								
If yes, did staff who were involved in the								
adverse event believe that this change in								
staffing or workload contributed to the								
adverse event?								
□ No □ Yes								

E. PEOPLE Factors (Staff Training / Scheduling) - continued

Other triggering questions to e analysis:	xpand					
☐ Physical difficulties performing	g tasks					
☐ Demanding task load, time pr	essure					
☐ Inadequate staffing, skill mix*	*					
☐ Inadequate observation of performance						
☐ Inadequate feedback to guide practice	?					
☐ Fatigue - overtime, back to b shifts	ack					
☐ Change of shift						
☐ Other:						
CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN: Action Plans should establish practice changes and independent redundancies designed to engineer errors out of current and new methods, procedures or processes. Where applicable and appropriate, such practices changes and independent redundancies should be shared on a housewide basis.						
Action Taken / To be Taken	Person Responsible for Action Plan	Implementati on Date	Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes a threshold that will trigger additional analysis and/or action if not achieved.)		Reportin Commun	_

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable)

F1. Wrong Site Event						
Was this a wrong site event?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
If yes, address the following Universal F	Protocol Question	s:	Would correct	ion eliminate reoccurre		
▼		5 " "			Check approp	
Check all that apply Then —	-	Describe the	deviation and the d	cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor
1. Did the OR schedule and informed						
consent match?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
2. Did the surgeon sign the patient site in						
pre-op?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
3. Did the surgeon sign the patient site						
with his/her initials?						
☐ No ☐ Yes						
4. Was there active, verbal participation						
in a time out or pause before the						
procedure or incision? If not, why not.						
□ No □ Yes						
5. If the procedure site had internal						
laterally, was there a second pause that						
occurred?						
□ No □ Yes						
6. Was this a spinal procedure? If so,						
answer questions below.						
□ No □ Yes						
If yes, answer questions below.						
a. Was there a pre x-ray available for						
the surgeon? ☐ No ☐ Yes						
b. Was there an intra-op x-ray taken						
and comparison to the pre-op x-ray?						
□ No □ Yes If yes, Why?						
c. Was the level marked on the outside						
of the patient body with the surgeon's						
initials?						
☐ No ☐ Yes If yes, Why?						

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable)

F2. Pressure Ulcer Event Yes	□ No			
▼			Check appropriate column	
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor	
Pressure ulcer risk assessment (Braden)			1	
was documented on admission and daily			I	
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA				
2. Skin inspection was documented on			ı	
admission and daily_			ı	
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA				
3. Removal of devices such as stockings			ı	
and splints were documented each shift			ı	
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA				
4. The documented care plan linked risk			ı	
assessment findings to specific			ı	
preventative interventions			ı	
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA				
5. Patients with impaired sensory			ı	
perception, mobility, and activity as defined			ı	
by the Braden scale had the following			ı	
interventions documented			ı	
Repositioning q 2hrs			ı	
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			ı	
Heels off of bed			ı	
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			ı	
Appropriate support surfaces			ı	
(mattresses, chair cushions) for			ı	
pressure redistribution			ı	
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			ı	
6. Patients with friction/shear risk as				
defined by the Braden scale had HOB 30			ı	
degrees or less documented (if medical			ı	
contraindicated, there was an MD order and			ı	
an alternative plan was documented to			ı	
prevent shear injury)			ı	
□ No □ Yes □ NA				
7. Patients with nutritional deficits as			ı	
defined by the Braden scale were followed			ı	
by dietary services once the deficit was				
identified				
□ No □ Yes □ NA		i	ı	

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable)

F2. Pressure Ulcer Event			
▼		Check appropriate column	
Check all that apply Then	Describe the deviation and the cause	Root Cause	Contributing Factor
8. Patients with incontinence have documentation of perineal cleanser and barrier use and the underlying cause is addressed No Yes NA			
9. Patient/family skin safety education and patient response was documented			
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA			
10. Standard skin safety interventions that were determined to be medically contraindicated or inconsistent with the patient's overall goals were documented or ordered by an MD and reevaluated routinely			
□ No □ Yes □ NA			
11. Inability to adhere to standard skin safety interventions (i.e., noncompliance) was documented with evidence of patient/family education and ongoing efforts to reeducated or modify care plan			
□ No □ Yes □ NA			

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable) F3. Fall Event No Yes Check appropriate column Check all that apply → Describe the deviation and the cause Then-Root Cause Contributing Factor 1. Does your facility have a falls team that regularly evaluates your falls program? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 2. Was a Fall Risk Screening documented at admission? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 3. Was a validated, reliable fall risk screening tool used? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 4. Did the screening tool indicate patient was at risk for falls? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 5. If screening tool did not indicate patient was at risk for falls: 5a) Was patient still placed at risk due to clinical judgment? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 5b) If yes, what were the additional factors that placed the patient at risk? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 5c) Were universal fall precautions in place (e.g. items placed within patient's reach, room clear of clutter)? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 6. If patient was determined to be at risk for falling: Was re-screening documented: 6a) Every 24 hours, minimum (within the 48 hours prior to the fall)? □ No □ Yés □ NA 6b) Upon transfer between units?

☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA

6c) Upon change of status?
☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA

□ No □ Yes □ NA

6d) Post-fall?

F. MN PATIENT SAFETY SPECIFIC EVENT DETAIL Factors (Complete only if specific event is applicable) F3. Fall Event Check appropriate column Describe the deviation and the cause Check all that apply Then-Root Cause Contributing Factor 7. Was there a visual indication alerting staff to patient's at-risk status? □ No □ Yes □ NA If yes, what type? 8. Was a fall prevention intervention plan documented? □ No □ Yes □ NA 9. Did the intervention plan focus on the patient's specific risk factors? \square No \square Yes \square NA 10. Was patient/family education completed? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA 11. When was patient rounding last conducted for this patient to check for pain, positioning and potty? {<30 minutes prior to fall; <1 hour prior to fall; <2 hours prior to fall; <2 hours prior to fall; unknown} □ No □ Yes □ NA 12. Was equipment to reduce risk for fall/ injury in place? ☐ No ☐ Yes \square NA If yes, what type? 13. Was patient on culprit meds within 24 hours of fall? ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ NA If so, which medication? **CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN:** Action Plans should establish practice changes and independent redundancies designed to engineer errors out of current and new methods, procedures or processes. Where applicable and appropriate, such practices changes and independent redundancies should be shared on a housewide basis. Measurement Strategy (Includes methodology, goal, sampling strategy, frequency and duration of measurement. Includes Person Responsible for Implementati Reporting and a threshold that will trigger additional analysis Action Plan Communication on Date Action Taken / To be Taken and/or action if not achieved.)

G.	G. CITE ANY BOOKS OR JOURNAL ARTICLES THAT WERE CONSIDERED IN DEVELOPING THIS ANALYSIS AND ACTION PLAN:								
ı									
				Sentinel Alert information, MN Patient Registry	/ inition auton, vnA peer groups				
	or any professional organizations, insurance company, etc.).								
	MALLAT A DE THE KEY LEAD	NINGO EDOM TINO	EVENT TO OUR		AE 04 FETY0 (D : 1)				
Н.	H. WHAT ARE THE KEY LEARNINGS FROM THIS EVENT TO SHARE WITH OTHER FACILITIES TO ENHANCE SAFETY? (Required).								
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								

1/22/2010 W:\HONKOMP\Frechette\Root Cause Analysis Worksheet.doc