



DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

**This is an internal document not intended for public use **

MEMORANDUM

Public Health Service Food and Drug Administration 10903 New Hampshire Avenue Silver Spring, MD 20993

Date: February 23, 2010

From: Chuck McCullough

Biomedical Engineer

Division of Patient Safety Partnership

Office of Surveillance and Biometrics (OSB)

Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH)

Karen Nast, RN, MS Nurse Consultant

Division of Patient Safety Partnership

OSB/CDRH

Leslie C. Sweet, RN

Nurse Consultant, MDR Analyst Product Evaluation Branch I (PEB I) Division of Postmarket Surveillance (DPS)

OSB/CDRH

Subject: Health Information Technology (H-IT) Safety Issues

To: Jeff Shuren, MD, JD

Director, CDRH

Through: Doug Wood, Associate Director, DPS/OSB/CDRH

Marilyn Flack, Director, Division of Patient Safety Partnership

This report serves to characterize medical device reports (MDRs) in the Manufacturer and User Facility Experience (MAUDE) database, inclusive of MedSun reports, pertaining to Health Information Technology (H-IT) safety issues as requested by the Office of the Center Director, Center for Devices and Radiological Health (CDRH), in contrast to the previously submitted MedSun and Office of Compliance information.

Due to the inherent vast scope of H-IT safety issues and potential suspect devices, the current CDRH product code (procodes) list was screened to identify those procodes that would mostly likely capture the highest volume of pertinent MDRs (Table 1). The MAUDE database was then queried using these procodes and the Date Report Received "01-JAN-2008 to 18-FEB-2010." This search was further narrowed by performing a text search of 30 terms commonly utilized in H-IT safety reports (Appendix A), and then individually reviewing the MDRs to exclude unrelated reports. These combined queries yielded 257 MDRs, with identification of 3 additional procodes, highlighted in Table 1.

Table 1. Procodes Associated with the H-IT Safety Issue Search

Procode	Name	Count	Percent
LLZ	System, image processing, radiological	148	58
LNX	Medical computers and software	63	25
MMH	Software, blood bank, stand alone products	19	7
JQP	Calculator/data processing module, for clinical use	12	5
NSX	Software, transmission and storage, patient data	6	2
NZH	Medication management system, remote	3	1
IXW	Processor, radiographic-film, automatic	2	1
DQK	Computer, diagnostic, programmable	1	0.3
LMB	Device, digital image storage, radiological	0	
Procodes	Revealed Following Search		
	Monitor, physiological, patient (with arrhythmia detection	1	0.3
MHX	or alarms)		
	Scanner, computed-tomography, x-ray; system, x-ray,	1	0.3
JAK	tomography, computed		
	Film, radiographic; film, x-ray, dental, extraoral; bitewing;	1	0.3
IWZ	film, x-ray, dental, intraoral; medical		

Limitations of the MAUDE search and final subset of MDRs include the following:

- 1. Not all H-IT safety issue MDRs can be captured due to limitations of reporting practices including
 - Vast number of H-IT systems that interface with multiple medical devices currently assigned to multiple procodes making it difficult to identify specific procodes for H-IT safety issues;
 - b. Procode assignments are also affected by the ability of the reporter/contractor to correctly identify the event as a H-IT safety issue;
 - c. Correct identification by the reporter of the suspect device brand name is challenged by difficulties discerning the actual H-IT system versus the device it supports.
- 2. Due to incomplete information in the MDRs, it is difficult to unduplicate similar reports, potentially resulting in a higher number of reports than actual events.
- 3. Reported death and injury events may only be associated with the reported device but not necessarily attributed to the device.

- 4. Correct identification by the reporter of the manufacturer name is convoluted by the inability to discern the manufacturer of the actual H-IT system versus the device it supports.
- 5. The volume of MDR reporting to MAUDE may be impacted by a lack of understanding the reportability of H-IT safety issues and enforcement of such reporting.

The majority of the MDRs were submitted by the manufacturer (Table 2), and the primary Type of Event was Malfunction (Table 3).

Table 2. Report Source

Report	Source	Manufacturer	User Facility	Voluntary	Distributor
MDRs	Count	202	15	35	5
	%	78	6	14	2

Table 3. Type of Event

Type of Event		Death	Injury	Malfunction
MDRs	Count	6	43	208
	%	2	17	81

Review of the Device Problem Codes compared with individual review of the Event Narratives prompted the development of 12 detailed categories (Appendix C) to which the MDRs were assigned to more clearly classify the system malfunctions. These MDRs were then reassigned to more general categories, as defined in Appendix B. The majority of the events were categorized as Error of Commission (49%), with 27% as Errors of Omission and Transmission and 22% as Errors in Data Analysis (Table 4).

Table 4. H-IT Safety Issues-General Categories

Category	Description	Count	%
Errors of Commission (EOC)	Events such as accessing the wrong patient's record or overwriting one patient's information with another's	126	49
Errors of Omission or Transmission (EOT)	Events such as the loss or corruption of vital patient data	69	27
Errors in Data Analysis (EDA)	Includes medication dosing errors of several orders of magnitude	57	22
Incompatibility between Multi-Vendor Software Applications or Systems (ISMA)	Incompatibilities which can lead to any of the above	5	2

A review of the Top 10 Patient Problem Codes provided limited insight into the clinical impact of the reported events. This limitation may result from an absence of mandatory reporting regulations and requirements including manufacturer investigation of the event.

Individual review of the death reports resulted in 3 reports categorized as Error of Commission, 2 as Error of Omission or Transmission, and 1 as Error in Data Analysis (Table 5). Of note, the MedWatch Voluntary Reports were from the same reporter summarizing hospital-wide H-IT experience without an isolated incident or patient identified.

Table 5: Summary and Categorization of Death Reports

MFR	Brand	Event Summary	H-IT Safety Issue General Categories
GE Healthcare Integrated IT Solutions	Centricity RA1000	User entered wrong patient name on study image resulting in therapy administration to wrong pt	EOC
Stentor Incorporated, a Philips Medical Systems Company	ISITE PACS	Delay in network transmission of diagnostic image preventing administration of treatment prior to pt's death	ЕОТ
Cerner Corporation	Cerner Millennium	Report sites shortfalls in hospital's implementation of CPOE system	ЕОТ
This item removed	at request of vendor		
GE Healthcare Information Technologies	Centricity Enterprise Web	User unaware that an exam had a note attached containing positive clinical findings	EOC
Cerner Corporation	Millenium	Report sites shortfalls in hospital's implementation of CPOE and EHR systems	EOC

CPOE-Computerized Physician Order Entry; EHR-Electronic Health Records

In summary, the results of this data review suggest significant clinical implications and public safety issues surrounding Health Information Technology. The most commonly reported H-IT safety issues included wrong patient/wrong data, medication administration issues, clinical data loss/miscalculation, and unforeseen software design issues; all of which have varying impact on the patient's clinical care and outcome, which included 6 death and 43 injuries. The absence of mandatory reporting enforcement of H-IT safety issues limits the number of relevant MDRs and impedes a more comprehensive understanding of the actual problems and implications.

Management Review -

Douglas Wood, Associate Director, Division of Post Market Surveillance

After review of the information provided in this memorandum, I concur with the findings contained within this analysis.

Management Review -

Marilyn Flack, Director, Division of Patient Safety Partnerships

After review of the information provided in this memorandum, I concur with the findings contained within this analysis.

Appendix A Text Search Terms

Keyword	# of Records with Keyword
Antivirus	0
Bar Code	1
Computer	59
Computer Virus	1
Conficker	1,
CR Reader	8
Data	280
DICOM	23
Download	5
EMR	8
Health Record	0
HIS	1648
HL7	7
Information System	24
Interface	55
LAN	63
LIS	253
Malware	0
Microsoft Patch	1
Network	11
Operating System	2
PACS	277
Pharmacy Information System	2
Print	73
Re-Boot	2
Reboot	15
Software Patch	22
Transmit	31
Windows	4
Workstation	89

Appendix B H-IT Safety Issues—Generalized Categories

Category	Examples			
Errors of Commission (EOC)	Example 1: An error occurred in software used to view and document patient activities. When the user documented activities in the task list for one patient and used the "previous" or "next" arrows to select another patient chart, the first patient's task list displayed for the second patient.			
	Example 2: A nuclear medicine study was saved in the wrong patient's file. Investigation suggests that this was due to a software error.			
	Example 3: A sleep lab's workstation software had a confusing user interface, which led to the overwriting and replacement of one patient's data with another patient's study.			
Errors of Omission or Transmission (EOT)	Example 1: An EMR system was connected to a patient monitoring system to chart vital signs. The system required a hospital staff member to download the vital signs, verify them, and electronically post them in the patient's chart. Hospital staff reported that, several times, vital signs have been downloaded, viewed, and approved, and have subsequently disappeared from the system.			
	Example 2: An operating room management software application frequently "locked up" during surgery, with no obvious indication that a "lock-up" was occurring. Operative data were lost and had to be re-entered manually, in some cases from the nurse's recollection.			
	Example 3: An improper database configuration caused manual patient allergy data entries to be overwritten during automatic updates of patient data from the hospital information system.			
Errors in Data Analysis (EDA)	Example 1: In one system, intravenous fluid rates of greater than 1,000 mL/hr were printed as 1 mL/hr on the label that went to the nursing / drug administration area.			
	Example 2: A clinical decision support software application for checking a patient's profile for drug allergies failed to display the allergy information properly. Investigation by the vendor determined that the error was caused by a missing codeset.			

Category	Examples
	Example 3: Mean pressure values displayed on a patient's physiological monitors did not match the mean pressures computed by the EMR system after systolic and diastolic values were entered.
Incompatibility between Multi-Vendor Software Applications or Systems (ISMA)	Example 1: An Emergency Department management software package interfaces with the hospital's core information system and the laboratory's laboratory information system; all three systems are from different vendors. When lab results were ordered through the ED management software package for one patient, another patient's results were returned.
	Example 2: Images produced by a CT scanner from one vendor were presented as a mirror image by another vendor's picture archiving and communication system (PACS) web software. The PACS software vendor stipulates that something in the interface between the two products causes some images to be randomly "flipped" when displayed.

APPENDIX C H-IT Safety Issues—Detailed Categories

Category	Description	Examples	Count	H-IT Safety Issue
			(%)	General Categories
Wrong patient/wrong	Event in which medical	Patient A data is requested but	100	EOC
data	information is accessed by the	patient B data is received.	(39)	
	healthcare provider and either	Patient A data specific procedure		
	the wrong patient or the wrong	data is requested, but procedure		
	information is retrieved despite	from a different date or time is		
	correct inquiry procedures.	provided.		
Clinical data	Event in which medical	Patient A data is requested but	19	EOC, EOT, EDA
loss/miscalculation	information is either	no information is found.	(7)	
	permanently or temporarily	Forwarded Radiology results are		
	lost, deleted or overwritten,	not displayed in the recipient's		
	without a command to delete,	message center. Standard uptake		
	or the scale of measure applied	values for PET are incorrect		
	to the electronic data is	when the exam is performed on		
	inaccurate.	another manufacturer's scanner.		
Human factors/usability	Event in which the device	Excessive drop down menu	16	EOC
issues	design is confusing or likely to	selections facilitating data entry	(6)	
	be misunderstood by user	error. Legibility is limiting.		
	resulting in unanticipated,	Device workflow is		
	clinically-related errors.	counterintuitive.		
Unforeseen software	Unforeseen event in which	System fails to return intake and	18	ЕОТ
design issues	software design is attributed to	output results. When an order is	(7)	
	safety issues.	modified, the system displays		
		the current and previous versions		
		of the order.		

Category	Description	Examples	Count (%)	H-IT Safety Issue General Categories
Image measurement/corruption issues	Event in which measurement algorithms or functions produced erroneous results or the image displays were corrupted.	Incorrect image sizing. Text misplaced over images.	13 (5)	ЕОТ
Radiologic image misorientation	Event in which the image (e.g., x-ray, nuclear scans, etc) is labeled incorrectly or whose orientation is not correct.	Nuclear image is presented flipped (e.g., right-left reversed). Diagnostic image is flipped but the left-right markers are not.	12 (5)	ЕОТ
Medication administration issues	Any event in which the device software design results in errors of medication administration.	Dosing errors based on calculations; duplication of orders.	20 (8)	EDA
Lab result issues	Any event in which the device software design results in erroneous lab results.	Lab results are not being tagged as "high" or "low." Critical lab results are not entered into the phone-alert cue.	17 (7)	EDA
System data versus printout data discrepancy	Event in which data printout is different from data records requested from the system.	IV fluid rates greater than 1,000ml/hr print as 1ml/hr on the label. Patient data other than what was selected printed out.	6 (2)	EDA
Charting/orders	Event in which clinical data (charting or orders) is not correctly stored, transferred, updated, or displayed in the medical records.	Automatic expiration of drug order not displayed. Inability to access expanded medication charts. Vital sign data does not populate the chart.	14 (5)	EOT

Category	Description	Examples	Count (%)	H-IT Safety Issue General Categories
Medication preparation issues	Any event in which pharmaceutical system produces erroneous guidelines for preparation and distribution of medications.	Incorrect drug dosage used to prepare infusion.	10 (4)	EDA
Other	Miscellaneous safety issues	Server crashes. Networking problems. Computer virus. Incorrect system configuration by user.	12 (5)	EOC, EOT, EDA, IMSA

EOC: Errors of Commission; EOT- Errors of Omission or Transmission; EDA- Errors in Data Analysis; IMSA- Incompatibility between Multi-Vendor Software Applications or Systems